

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3404 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KALIDAS ALIAS KALI

RANGNATH MADRASHI

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Appearance:

MR SATISH R PATEL for Petitioner

MR HH PATEL, AGP, for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

Date of decision: 22/12/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad City,
Ahmedabad, passed an order on March 30, 1999, in exercise
of powers under Section 3(1) of the Gujarat Prevention
Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 ("PASA Act" for short)
detaining the petitioner under the provisions of the PASA

Act.

#. The grounds of detention reveal that the detaining authority took into consideration nine prohibition offences registered against the detenu. The authority also took into consideration statements of two anonymous witnesses in respect of unregistered offences. The authority, after considering the statements of witnesses and verifying the correctness and genuineness of the statements recorded and fear expressed by the witnesses therein, deemed it proper to exercise powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act and claim privilege of not disclosing identity of these witnesses. After considering less drastic alternative remedies, the detaining authority recorded a satisfaction that they may not prove as efficacious as detention under PASA Act and as the detenu was required to be immediately prevented from pursuing his illegal and anti-social activities, detention under PASA was the only remedy that can be resorted to.

#. The detenu/petitioner challenges the order of detention on various counts. The main ground that is relied upon by Ms. Dutt appearing for Mr. S.R. Patel, learned advocate for the petitioner is that there is wrong exercise of powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act. She submitted that the statements of anonymous witnesses were recorded on the 27th and 28th March, 1999 and the same were verified on the 30th March, 1999, the date on which the order came to be passed. The authority, therefore, had no time to undertake the exercise of arriving at a subjective satisfaction about the correctness and genuineness of the statements made and fear expressed by the witnesses and the need for exercise of powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act in public interest. She submitted that in light of decision in the case of Kalidas C. Kahar v. State of Gujarat, 1993(2) GLR, 1659, there was no time for the authority to arrive at such a genuine conclusion and, therefore, the order would stand vitiated and the petition may, therefore, be allowed.

#. Mr. H.H. Patel, learned Assistant Government Pleader, has opposed this petition.

#. In view of the rival side contentions, it requires to be determined as to whether the subjective satisfaction recorded by the detaining authority for exercise of powers under Section 9(2) can be said to be genuine.

1.1 The statements were verified on the 30th March, 1999 and the order of detention came to be passed on the very same day. There was, therefore, no time lag between these two events which could have made possible for the detaining authority to arrive at a genuine subjective satisfaction for exercise of powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act. The powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act are required to be exercised in public interest and for that purpose, the authority has to satisfy itself that the incidents narrated by the anonymous witnesses are correct and the fear expressed by these witnesses qua the petitioner is genuine and that, therefore, it is necessary to exercise the powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act. This will have a bearing not only on the question of public interest, but also on the right of the detenu of making an effective representation which is guaranteed by the Constitution and any error or lapse found in exercise of such power would render the order of detention bad in law. The subjective satisfaction required to be recorded by the detaining is not by way of an empty formality and the authority has to take steps apart from interrogating the witnesses to satisfy itself that the incidents narrated by the witnesses and the fear expressed by the witnesses are correct and genuine. If the verification is on the 30th March, 1999 and the order is passed on the very same day, there is no reasonable time lag between these two dates which would enable the detaining authority to undertake this exercise. In this regard decision in case of Kalidas Chandubhai Kahar v. State of Gujarat [1993(2) GLR, 1659] relied upon by the learned advocate for the petitioner is squarely applicable and the petition deserves to be allowed on this ground alone.

#. The affidavit in reply also does not disclose as to what exercise undertaken by the detaining authority for arriving at a subjective satisfaction for exercise of powers under Section 9(2) of the PASA Act. It is true that the subjective satisfaction cannot be judged by a Court and whether the material before the detaining authority was sufficient or not cannot be gone into by the Court. But whether there was cogent material to arrive at the subjective satisfaction has to be examined and in absence of any such material, the subjective satisfaction cannot be said to have been genuinely arrived at. This would render the impugned order bad in law.

#. In view of the above discussion, the petition is allowed. The order of detention in respect of the petitioner-Kalidas @ Kali Rangnath Madrasi, dated the

30th March, 1999 is hereby quashed and set aside. The petitioner-detenu is ordered to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in any other case. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no orders as to costs.

[A.L. DAVE, J.]

gt